

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/940,211	08/28/2001	Edward Federowicz	5390	
7590 10/04/2006			EXAMINER	
EDWARD FEDEROWICZ 98 WEST 32ND STREET			SHERR, CRISTINA O	
BAYONNE, NJ 07002			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3621	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Cumment	09/940,211	FEDEROWICZ, EDWARD				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cristina Owen Sherr	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Ju	dv 2006					
<u> </u>	action is non-final.					
,		secution as to the merits is				
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
and an analysis of the process and an analysis	x parte quayre, 1000 G.D. 11, 40	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 7-11 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	•					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	in the second of	वस्र				
8) Claim(s) 7-11 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
		Share Caran Bellin MS in the				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority documents</li></ol>	s have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	eg cere				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
	•					
Attachment(s)		· ·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is in response to applicant's amendment filed July10, 2006. Claims 1-6 have been canceled. Claims 7-11 are newly added in this case. Claims 7-11 are currently pending in this case.

## Election/Restrictions

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claim 7, drawn to a method and apparatus for comparing the recorded digitized voice of a registered applicant to the digitized voice of an applicant, classified in class 704, subclass 231.
  - II. Claim 8, drawn to a method and apparatus to permit a person to sign up to a website and pay with a credit card for the purpose of using a voice recognition service, classified in class 705, subclass 52.
  - III. Claim 9, drawn to a method and procedure for verifying identity through a pass code number, faxed copy of driver's license, and phone call for voice recording, classified in class 705, subclass 71.
  - IV. Claim 10, drawn to a procedure for authorizing credit reporting companies to forward credit information to a system for voice matching, classified in class 705, subclass 67.
  - V. Claim 11, drawn to a method and procedure for permitting or rejecting an applicant for credit where the credit reporting agency forwards the application to a voice database for voice comparison, classified in class 705, subclass 44.5, Classin, and application to a voice database for voice comparison, classified in class

Art Unit: 3621

3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Page 3

- 4. Inventions I and II-V are directed to related apparatus and methods. The related inventions are distinct if the (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed are capable of separate use. Invention I has separate utility such as voice recognition and comparison without being an integral part of credit reporting and approval or denial credit.
- 5. Inventions II, III, IV and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because each may be used separately from the others. The subcombination has separate utility such as requiring payment, in the case of invention II, verifying phone and driver's license information, in the case of invention Iii, authorizing credit reporting agencies to forward information in the case of invention IV, and approving or denying credit in the case of invention V.
- 6. The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are

as aloin and become

Art Unit: 3621

subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

- 7. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction were not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.
- 9. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.
- 10. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Art Unit: 3621

Page 5

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cristina Owen Sherr whose telephone number is 571-272-6711. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00 Monday through Friday.
- 12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew J. Fischer can be reached on 571-272-6779. The fax phone Page 5 number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

- υυζα) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3621

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Cristina Owen Sherr Patent Examiner, AU 3621

09/12/06

ANDREW J. FISCHER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY, CENTER 3600 July

109 UN USA OR CHINAD TO DE DE 19. 72-18 JU

and the first of the second of the second control of the second

many and status or entraphiculation / be waith of